

should be made and they will be incorporated into the final rule.

Use of Form I-824, Application for Action on an Approved Application or Petition

One commenter also suggested that 8 CFR 204.9(c)(3) be placed under 8 CFR 245.8 and that the word "petition" be replaced by the word "application". Since Form I-824 is for use in approved adjustment of status cases, the commenter felt that the word "petition" might cause confusion in the form's intended use. The Service agrees and has amended 8 CFR 204.9(c) and 8 CFR 245.8 accordingly.

Interview of the Applicant

One commenter suggested that the wording of 8 CFR 245.8(c) be changed regarding the director's effort to determine a military special immigrant's eligibility for naturalization benefits. The commenter suggested that the words "every effort" placed an undue burden on the Service and suggested the words "conscientious effort" be used instead.

The Service agrees that the language should be changed and has revised 8 CFR 245.8(c) to recognize the director's responsibility in making a *prima facie* determination regarding a military special immigrant's eligibility for naturalization benefits. This language now requires the director to make such a determination.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service certifies that this rule does not have a significant adverse economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule is not a major rule within the meaning of section 1(b) of E.O. 12291, nor does this rule have Federalism implications warranting the preparation of a Federalism Assessment in accordance with E.O. 12612.

The information collection requirements contained in this regulation have been cleared by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act. Clearance numbers for these collections are contained in 8 CFR 299.5, Display of Control Numbers.

List of Subjects

8 CFR Part 103

Administrative practice and procedure, Authority delegations (Government agencies), Freedom of information, Privacy, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Surety bonds.

8 CFR Part 204

Administrative practice and procedure, Aliens, Immigration, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

8 CFR Part 245

Administrative practice and procedure, Aliens, Employment, Immigration, Passports and visas, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 8 CFR parts 103, 204, and 245 which was published at 57 FR 33859-33862 on July 31, 1992, is adopted as a final rule with the following changes:

PART 204—IMMIGRANT PETITIONS

1. The authority citation for part 204 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 8 U.S.C. 1101, 1103, 1151, 1153, 1154, 1182, 1186a, 1255; 8 CFR part 2.

2. In § 204.9 paragraph (a)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 204.9 Special immigrant status for certain aliens who have served honorably (or are enlisted to serve) in the Armed Forces of the United States for at least 12 years.

(a) * * *
(2) *Where to file.* The petition must be filed with the Service Center having jurisdiction over the place of the alien's current or intended place of residence in the United States, with the overseas Service office having jurisdiction over the alien's residence abroad, or in conjunction with 8 CFR 245.8.

3. In § 204.9 paragraph (c)(3) is removed and paragraph (c)(4) is redesignated as paragraph (c)(3).

PART 245—ADJUSTMENT OF STATUS TO THAT OF PERSON ADMITTED FOR PERMANENT RESIDENCE

4. The authority citation for part 245 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 8 U.S.C. 1101, 1103, 1182, 1255; and 8 CFR part 2.

5. Section 245.8 is amended by:
a. Revising the first sentence in paragraph (c);
b. Redesignating paragraphs (d) and (e) as paragraphs (e) and (f); and
c. Adding a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 245.8 Adjustment of status as a special immigrant under section 101(a)(27)(K) of the Act.

* * * * *
(c) *Interview of the applicant.* Upon completion of the adjustment of status interview for a special immigrant under

section 101(a)(27)(K) of the Act, the director shall make a *prima facie* determination regarding eligibility for naturalization benefits if the applicant is to be granted status as an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence.

(d) *Spouse or child outside the United States.* When a spouse or child of an alien granted special immigrant status under section 101(a)(27)(K) of the Act is outside the United States, the principal alien may file Form I-824, Application for Action on an Approved Application or Petition, with the office which approved the original application.

Dated: August 19, 1993.
Chris Sale,
Acting Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service.
[FR Doc. 93-23840 Filed 9-28-93; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-10-0

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

12 CFR Part 933

[No. 93-75]

Members of the Federal Home Loan Banks

AGENCY: Federal Housing Finance Board.
ACTION: Final rule; information collection approval.

SUMMARY: The Federal Housing Finance Board ("Finance Board") is amending its final rule governing membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank System ("FHLBank System"), which was published at 58 FR 43522 (August 17, 1993), to include the assigned Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number in the regulatory text.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 1993.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon B. Like, Attorney/Advisor, Office of Legal & External Affairs-Legal Division, (202) 408-2930, Federal Housing Finance Board, 1777 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20006.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 17, 1993, the Finance Board published a final rule under 12 CFR part 933 on membership in the FHLBank System (58 FR 43522). OMB has approved the information collection requirements contained in §§ 932.2, 933.5, 933.9, 933.12, 933.13 and 933.18 of the final rule pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35, and has assigned these collections OMB control number 3069-0004, expiration date August 31, 1996.

Under OMB's regulations implementing the Paperwork Reduction

Act, agency regulations containing information collection requirements that are published in the Federal Register also must publish the OMB control number as part of the regulatory text or as a technical amendment. See 5 CFR 1320.7(e)(2). The Finance Board, by this technical amendment, revises the applicable sections of the Finance Board's final membership rule to include the assigned OMB control number in the regulatory text.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 933

Federal home loan banks, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, title 12, chapter IX, subchapter B of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby amended as follows:

PART 933—MEMBERS OF THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS

1. The authority citation for part 933 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1422a, 1422b, 1424, 1426, 1430, 1442.

§§ 933.2, 933.5, 933.9, 933.12, 933.13, 933.18 [Amended]

2. Sections 933.2, 933.5, 933.9, 933.12, 933.13 and 933.18 are amended by adding the parenthetical "(The information collection requirements contained in this section have been approved where applicable by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 3069-0004.)" at the end of each section.

Dated: September 22, 1993.

By the Federal Housing Finance Board.

Philip L. Conover,
Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 93-23791 Filed 9-28-93; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6725-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 93-ANE-10; Amendment 39-8694; AD 93-19-01]

Airworthiness Directives; Dowty Rotol (Now Dowty Aerospace Gloucester Ltd.) Propeller Models (c)R354/4-123-F/13, (c)R354/4-123-F/20, and (c)R375/4-123-F/21

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Dowty Rotol (now Dowty

Aerospace Gloucester Ltd.) Propeller Model (c)R354/4-123-F/13 series, that currently requires a torque check of the propeller retention bolts for low torque; a magnetic particle inspection of the propeller retention bolts for cracks, and dye penetrant, ultrasonic, and eddy current inspections of the propeller hub backface for cracks. This amendment requires inspections of additional model propellers, requires installation of an interface shim, and increases the repetitive inspection interval from 500 to 1500 hours time in service (TIS). This amendment is prompted by new test data and results of world-wide fleet operator service usage inspections. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent possible loss of the propeller.

DATES: Effective on October 29, 1993.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 29, 1993.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Dowty Aerospace Gloucester Ltd., Anson Business Park, Cheltenham Road East, Gloucester GL2 9QN England. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Francis X. Walsh, Aerospace Engineer, Boston Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803-5299, telephone (617) 238-7158; fax (617) 238-7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by superseding AD 87-21-51, Amendment 39-5929 (53 FR 25139, July 5, 1988), which is applicable to Dowty Rotol (now Dowty Aerospace Gloucester Ltd.) Propeller Model (c)R354/4-123-F/13, installed on SAAB-SF340A and SAAB-SF340B series aircraft, was published in the Federal Register on June 21, 1993 (58 FR 33783). That action proposed to require torque checks of the propeller retention bolts for low torque magnetic particle inspections of the propeller retention bolts for cracks; dye penetrant, ultrasonic, and eddy current inspections of the hub backface for cracks; on Dowty Aerospace Gloucester Ltd., Model Number (c)R354/4-123-F/13, and the following additional propeller models:

Dowty Aerospace Gloucester Ltd. Model Numbers (c)R354/4-123-F/20 and (c)R375/4-123-F/21. That AD also proposed to require installation of an interface shim (Dowty Aerospace Gloucester Ltd. Modification (C) VP3336), and increase the repetitive inspection interval from 500 to 1500 hours time in service (TIS).

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comment received.

One comment concurs with the rule as proposed.

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

The FAA estimates that 268 Dowty Aerospace Gloucester Ltd., Models (c)R354/4-123-F/13, (c)R354/4-123-F/20, and (c)R375/4-123-F/21 propellers of the affected design installed on SAAB-SF340, and SAAB-SF340B series aircraft of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 8 work hours per propeller to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$85 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$35 per propeller. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$127,300.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.