From: Lloyd Goff [lloydgoff@hotmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2010 6:18 PM

To: !FHFA REG-COMMENTS

Subject: Guidance on Private Transfer Fee

Dear Mr. Pollard-

I ask that you reject the proposed guidance, for the following reasons:

- 1. Despite widespread use of transfer fees for decades, there is no evidence of harm to consumers or lenders.
- 2. Passing the guidance will negatively impact millions of homeowners, who will find their property ineligible for low-cost financing.
- 3. Transfer fees are voluntary. No one is forced to pay the fee and they are easily recognizable through proper disclosure.
- 4. Developers use capital recovery fees to spread infrastructure costs. There is nothing unfair or inequitable about this practice. It is analogous to using road bonds to pay for roads.
- 5. Developers sell off the income stream (e.g. a development bond), and use the proceeds to PAY OFF LOANS, REDUCE NEGATIVE EQUITY, and RESTART FAILED PROJECTS CREATING JOBS. In return, homeowners pay less up front.
- 6. HOAs use transfer fees to lower quarterly dues. Non-profits use the income to provide important community benefits.
- 7. These fees are embedded within deed restrictions, and cannot be easily removed in most cases if at all. Some fees (to charity) run in perpetuity.
- 8. If the issue is protecting Fannie and Freddie, there is no basis for eliminating the use by subdivision developers. Lender liability bears no relationship to the ultimate use of the fee.
- 9. The guidance is being pushed almost exclusively by two special interest groups well known for lobbying hard for lax lending standards and subprime loans in pursuit of profits.
- 10. The guidance will not stop developers from using the fee. It will simply saddle homeowners with higher interest payments.

Sincerely,