Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel

Attention: Comments/RIN 2590-AA39

Federal Housing Finance Agency

400 Seventh Street SW

Washington, D.C. 20024

Re: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Request for Comments- Members of the FHLBanks (RIN 2590-AA39)

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am writing to express my concerns about the notice of proposed rulemaking regarding membership eligibility in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLBanks) put forward by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA). The proposed rule includes significant and unnecessary changes to long-standing membership rules for the FHLBank system. The proposed changes are inconsistent with Congressional intent and the Federal Home Loan Bank Act (FHLBank Act). For these reasons (Citizens National Bank of Park Rapids) vehemently opposes this proposed rule.

 I am Kathy Henry, (EVP) of Citizens National Bank. Our institution provides lending across all of our community with a focus on mortgage lending and commercial loans. We are located in the heart of the grand state of Minnesota. We are a small community of about $5,000 people and offer some of the best vacation spots in the US. Fresh walleye or venison is a staple for supper. We have been members of the FHLB system for over 20 years. We have used FHLB advances for liquidity, growth and for commercial loans. With using a long term fixed rate advance from the FHLB we have been able to offer our commercial customers fixed rate commercial loans which has been an incentive for them to finance much needed improvements or expansions. We are a $230,000,000 locally owned community bank with 50 employees, serving our community since 1933. Over 40% of our loan portfolio is residential real estate loans for our local area. We offer a normal 5 year balloon term loan to these customers with competitive rates. We also offer fixed rate financing for our residential mortgage customers and we sell these loans into the secondary market. We do not service our fixed rate mortgage loans.

The proposed regulation on FHLB membership creates many concerns for our bank. While my bank would meet the proposed rule requirements of the proposed rule today, I feel that the rule establishes a problematic precedent. There remains a distinct chance that at some point in the future, due prudent management of interest rate risk, economic or regulatory changes, our institution could fail the test. Our bank should be free to manage our balance sheets in light of what’s best for us, not the demands of a regulator. Not to mention, the proposed regulation conflicts with concerns from financial regulators that financial institutions reduce holdings of long-term fixed rate mortgages and sell them in the secondary market.

It is also crucial to point out that more than 25 years ago, Congress made it clear that community financial institutions (CFIs) such as my bank may use advances for purposes other than residential housing finance. It remains the intent of Congress today that CFIs may utilize FHLBank liquidity for commercial real estate, small business, agricultural real estate and agricultural operating loans. This fact alone highlights how this proposed rule runs counter to existing federal statute.

Broadly speaking, the FHLB Des Moines serves as a critical source of liquidity for financial institutions in Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, North and South Dakota. They have proven to be a reliable and competitive source of liquidity for all of our financing needs in all economic environments. This rule, if adopted, would remove the certainty that the FHLB Des Moines can be counted on to be a reliable source of liquidity in all market conditions.

Your agency’s proposed rules could fundamentally change how, or even whether, a depository financial institution such as ours could remain a member of a FHLB Des Moines. This is enormously disturbing. Confidence trust and reliability comprise the bedrock upon which our long-time FHLB membership is built. We need to know that the FHLB Des Moines can provide funding on a moment’s notice as it did in the recent financial crisis.

Access to advances is critically important to our bank because FHLBank liquidity allows us to offer competitive rates to our members that we might not otherwise be able to offer. **This is our main liquidity source in times of need**. Additionally, having a credit line and borrowing capacity with FHLB Des Moines promotes the safe and sound management of our institution.

­­­It also seems incredibly disingenuous to eliminate captive insurance companies from FHLB membership at a time when housing finance is at risk with only a small private secondary market and Fannie and Freddie in conservatorship. This is the time to encourage the development of additional markets for both single and multifamily mortgages. Financial institutions are being discouraged from holding mortgages meaning that we need to see the development of new outlets. The FHLBanks are a logical place to create these new models. Why would you eliminate opportunities rather than work with us to develop new systems of finance?

Access to FHLBank advances is important to CFIs like mine in our region. FHLB Des Moines and the 11 other FHLBanks are operating well within the authorities granted them by Congress. The membership requirements being contemplated by FHFA would change long-standing requirements that have worked well, and the proposed rule would ignore the collateral expansions approved by Congress over time. Citizens National Bank strongly recommends you rescind the proposed rule. Thanks for taking our comments into consideration.

Sincerely,

Kathy Henry

EVP

Citizens National Bank