

# The Effect of Flood Zoning Policies on Housing Markets

**Discussant: Elise Breshears**  
PhD, Economics, Michigan State University

October 3, 2023

# Major Comment: Emphasis of the Paper

Focus of current draft: Housing prices (discount or premium)

- Flood risk is used to validate the use of RD ex-post

Focus of previous draft: Flood risk

- Combining the First Street Foundation data with floodplains is the novel contribution of the paper
- Main result: no discontinuous change in flood risk
  - Market failures: externalities, information, rationality
    - Emphasize this and use it as motivation for housing market changes (age of building stock, density of building stock, housing prices)!
    - This impacts other markets (e.g., insurance)

# Major Comment: External Validity

No discontinuous change in flood risk at the floodplain boundaries

- Is this a byproduct of geography?
  - Texas is flat!



- Would we observe a discontinuous change in other hilly/mountainous regions (e.g., New England and West Coast)?

# Major Comment: Property Assessment Data

“Housing price” = “Improvement Value” + “Land Value”

- Improvement value and land value are determined by tax assessor

Property assessment data vs transaction data

- Do tax assessors **really** incorporate risk into valuation?
- Assessor value  $\neq$  market value
  - Local market dynamics (i.e., supply/demand) should not influence assessor value
    - Market dynamics (e.g., supply constraints) were used to explain housing price results
    - In previous draft, there is no discontinuous change in the density of the building stock  $\rightarrow$  no supply constraints
- Results are not comparable to studies with transaction data

If you had transaction data, you could merge it with HMDA data to obtain demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of buyers

# Major Comment: Dynamics

The 2014 and 2021 floodplains can be used to study dynamic changes

Garnache (2023) has a paper on dynamic fire risk in California

- RD: no effect
- Difference-in-Discontinuities: no effect
- Difference-in-Differences: negative effect (i.e., price discount)

Similar design could be used in this setting:

- Control: houses in 2014 floodplain and 2021 floodplain
- Treatment: houses not in 2014 floodplain but in 2021 floodplain
- Additional differences?
  - Houses not in 2014 or 2021 floodplain
  - Houses in 2014 500-year floodplain

May require transaction data or assessment data at two time periods

# Minor Comments

- Be careful with terminology
  - Both of the estimating equations are hedonic price models that use a regression discontinuity design
    - Suggested change: Standard RD vs Robust RD
- More explanation is needed for procedural steps and theory
- Other outcomes?
  - Building permits and demolitions
- Correlated amenities (with flood risk)?
  - National Land Cover Database: greenspace, trees, and water
- Demographic and socioeconomic controls?
- Inland counties have more missing data than coastal counties
- 100-year vs 500-year floodplains
  - Why focus on 100-year floodplains?
  - Adjacent 100-year and 500-year floodplains?