

**From:** vermontmba@gmail.com [mailto:[vermontmba@gmail.com](mailto:vermontmba@gmail.com)] **On Behalf Of** Vermont Mortgage Banker  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 20, 2011 3:31 PM  
**To:** #Servicing Compensation  
**Subject:** Comment Letter - Servicing Fee Structure

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please accept the attached letter from several associations representing the mortgage banking industry concerning your agency's proposal to modify the servicing fee structure. If you have questions, please feel free to contact me or Andrew Szalay, AMP, Director of State Government Affairs at the Mortgage Bankers Association at [\(202\) 557-2941](tel:(202)557-2941) or [aszalay@mortgagebankers.org](mailto:aszalay@mortgagebankers.org).

Thank you.

--  
Diane  
Diane M Smith, Executive Director  
Vermont Mortgage Bankers Assn.  
12 N Main St.  
St. Albans, VT 05478  
866-680-8622  
[www.VermontMBA.org](http://www.VermontMBA.org)

December 20, 2011

Mr. Edward DeMarco  
Acting Director  
Federal Housing Finance Agency  
1700 G Street, NW, 4th Floor  
Washington, DC 20552

Submission to: [Servicing\\_Comp\\_Public\\_Comments@FHFA.gov](mailto:Servicing_Comp_Public_Comments@FHFA.gov)

The undersigned thank the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) for the opportunity to comment on its “Alternative Mortgage Servicing Discussion Paper,” released on September 27, 2011. The world of servicing has undergone unprecedeted stress over the course of the economic downturn. We therefore appreciate the interest of FHFA and other regulators in ensuring that we collectively work to improve service to borrowers, reduce financial risk to servicers, ensure flexibility for guarantors to better manage non-performing loans, promote market liquidity and enhance opportunities for competition in the origination as well as servicing markets.

However, we believe that any change to the current servicing compensation model is unnecessary to accomplish these goals. The current system has served the market well for decades and still remains a viable option, even in these tumultuous times. Furthermore, any consideration of changing mortgage servicing compensation is premature in light of the ongoing process of developing national servicing standards, in addition to the constantly changing regulatory environment due to the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank).

While we do not endorse a change to the current servicing compensation model, we do recognize that there is a feeling amongst the regulators that there is a need for change. If FHFA feels strongly that making fundamental changes to the servicing fee structure is necessary, of the options presented in the September 27<sup>th</sup> discussion paper, we urge FHFA to adopt the cash reserve model. Of the two proposals presented, it is the only one which truly meets FHFA’s stated objective while ensuring minimal disruptions to the market.

The Cash Reserve Proposal, originally introduced by MBA and the Clearinghouse, establishes a minimum “normal servicing fee” and proposes the creation of a reserve account which servicers can use to conduct catastrophic nonperforming loan servicing. The reserve would be built up over time by placing a small portion of the mortgage cash flow (e.g., 3 bps) into a custodial reserve account, tied to a particular vintage of loans. Any unused portions would eventually be refunded to the mortgage servicer if they are not required to cover unanticipated operating costs of the servicer. Under this structure, use of the reserves should be the exception, not the rule, and would not be expected to occur under normal market conditions.

**RE:** Alternative Mortgage Servicing Compensation Discussion Paper

RIN 0575-AC90

December 20, 2011

Page 2 of 2

We believe that this approach is the best of the options presented, though we would reiterate: the fact remains that despite the issues in the mortgage servicing market and the need for investment and training in servicing, the current mortgage servicing compensation structure is appropriate and suitable to meet the needs of the market.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments. If you have any questions, please contact Jim Gross, Vice President Financial Accounting and Public Policy at the Mortgage Bankers Association, at (202) 557-2860 or [jgross@mortgagebankers.org](mailto:jgross@mortgagebankers.org).

Sincerely,

Mortgage Bankers Association

Colorado Mortgage Lenders Association

Indiana Mortgage Bankers Association

Iowa Mortgage Association

Maryland Mortgage Bankers Association

Mortgage Bankers Association of Florida

Mortgage Bankers Association of Kentucky

Mortgage Bankers Association of Metropolitan Washington

Mortgage Bankers Association of Mississippi

Mortgage Bankers Association of New Jersey

Mortgage Bankers Association of Pennsylvania

Mortgage Bankers Association of the Carolinas

Ohio Mortgage Bankers Association

Rhode Island Mortgage Bankers Association

Vermont Mortgage Bankers Association

Washington Mortgage Lenders Association

Wisconsin Mortgage Bankers Association