

December 19, 2011

Mr. Edward DeMarco Acting Director Federal Housing Finance Agency 1700 G Street, NW, 4th Floor Washington, DC 20552 edward.demarco@fhfa.gov

Submission to: Servicing Comp Public Comments@FHFA.gov

Re: Alternative Mortgage Servicing Compensation Discussion Paper

Dear Mr. DeMarco:

Centris Federal Credit Union appreciates the opportunity to comment on FHFA's *Alternative Mortgage Servicing Compensation Discussion Paper*.

In general, Centris agrees with The Mortgage Bankers Association's position that the existing servicing fee structure has served the market well and is still viable. If FHFA believes that change is needed, it should look for a fee structure that is not a radical departure from today's structure. We believe the proposed changes are too radical.

We can attest to the fact that servicers come in a broad range of size and cost to service structures. A large and highly automated servicer can generally service loans at a cost significantly lower than a smaller servicer such as Centris. However, we have seen many large servicers fail to invest appropriately in technology, systems, and infrastructure because it would have increased their servicing costs and, under certain accounting rules, led to the write down of their MSR asset. We believe that the larger servicers' decision not to develop appropriate infrastructure and processes impaired their ability to efficiently handle the increased volume of delinquent loans and foreclosures. The failure of these large servicers should not be rewarded with the implementation of a reduced fee structure that could perpetuate their misconduct and would definitely diminish Centris' ability to service mortgage loans.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Steve Swanstrom

VP, Director of Mortgage Services