

TECHNICAL REPORT 2







National Survey of Mortgage Originations **Technical Documentation**



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	. I
2.	Development of the Survey	.3
3.	Detailed Survey Sample Frame	. 5
4.	Survey Timeline	.6
5.	Survey Samples and Returns.	.9
6.	Usable Survey Responses	12
7.	Cleaning, Editing, and Imputing Responses.	16
8.	Sample Non-Response Weights	21
9.	Sampling Error.	23
10.	Security and Privacy Measures	24
11.	Public Use File.	25
Appen	dix A. NSMO Letters and Questionnaire, Waves 35 to 37	1
Appen	dix B. Retired NSMO Questions	-1
Appen	dix C. NSMO Public Use File Codebook and Tabulations	-1
Appen	dix D. Select Weighted Tabulations, 2013 – 2020	-1

Introduction¹ 1.

The National Mortgage Database (NMDB®) program is jointly funded and managed by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB). The program is designed to provide a rich source of information about the U.S. mortgage market based on a five percent sample of residential mortgages. It has three primary components:

- (1) the National Mortgage Database (NMDB);
- (2) the National Survey of Mortgage Originations (NSMO); and
- (3) the American Survey of Mortgage Borrowers (ASMB).

The NMDB program enables FHFA to meet the statutory requirements of section 1324(c) of the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA).² Specifically, FHFA must, through a monthly survey of the mortgage market, collect data on the characteristics of individual mortgages including both those eligible and not eligible for purchase by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and including subprime and nontraditional mortgages. In addition, FHFA must collect information on the creditworthiness of borrowers, including a determination of whether subprime and nontraditional borrowers would have qualified for prime lending.³

For CFPB, the NMDB program supports policymaking and research efforts, and helps identify and understand emerging mortgage and housing market trends. CFPB uses NMDB, among other purposes, in support of the market monitoring called for by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), including understanding how mortgage debt affects consumers and for retrospective rule review required by this statute.⁴

In seeking to meet these objectives, FHFA and CFPB decided to create NMDB after determining that no existing database was sufficient. 5 NMDB is a de-identified loan-level database of closedend first-lien residential mortgages. It has the following features:

- (1) Represents the market as a whole;
- (2) Contains detailed, loan-level information on the terms and performance of mortgages, as well as characteristics of the associated borrowers and properties;
- (3) Continually updates;
- (4) Has a historical component dating back before the financial crisis of 2008; and
- (5) Provides a sampling frame for NSMO and ASMB.

¹ The authors would like to thank several groups for their contributions to this report: our former team members at FHFA and CFPB; our current and former colleagues at both a gencies; and everyone who has worked on the NSMO project at Experian, Westat, and Freddie Mac. ² Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, Pub. L. 110–289, 122 Stat. 2654 (2008).

³ FHFA interprets the NMDB program, including NSMO, as the "survey" required by the Safety and Soundness Act. The statutory requirement is for a monthly survey. Core inputs to NMDB, such as a regular refresh of creditrepository data, occur monthly, though NSMO is conducted quarterly.

⁴ Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).

⁵ For a fuller description of NMDB, including a discussion of existing sources and their limitations, see NMDB Technical Report 1 at www.fhfa.gov/nmdb.

The core data in NMDB represent a statistically valid 1-in-20 random sample of all closed-end first-lien mortgages in the files of Experian, one of the three national credit bureaus.⁶ When the NMDB program began, an initial sample was drawn from all mortgage files outstanding at any point from January 1998 through June 2012. Since then, the sample has been updated quarterly with mortgages newly reported to Experian. Borrowers are tracked in NMDB from at least one year prior to the origination of the sampled mortgage to one year after termination of the mortgage, whether that termination is through prepayment, adverse termination, or maturity.

NSMO is designed to complement the core NMDB by providing supplementary information related to borrower opinions, expectations, and experiences. This quarterly survey is voluntary, and its target universe is all newly originated closed-end first-lien residential mortgages and their associated borrowers. To achieve this objective, NSMO draws its sample from mortgages that are part of NMDB, whose sample is drawn from the same target universe of new loans.

Beginning with loans originated in 2013, a simple random sample of about 6,000 loans per quarter is drawn from those newly added to NMDB for NSMO. At present, this represents a sampling rate of roughly 1-in-15 from NMDB (or 1-in-300 from all originations since NMDB itself is a 1-in-20 sample of loans).⁷

The remainder of this technical report is organized as follows.

The second through fourth sections of this technical report provides background details on the development of NSMO. The second section discusses the development of the survey questionnaire, including the approval granted by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The third section discusses the survey sampling frame and timeline, and the fourth section discusses the logistics of conducting the survey.

The fifth through ninth sections provide analysis and a discussion about the editing process of the survey data. The fifth section presents an analysis of survey responses for the first 30 waves. The sixth section explains how the usable population for analysis is derived, also for the first 30 waves. The seventh section describes the data cleaning, editing, and imputation processes used to refine the usable survey dataset. The eighth section discusses the construction of nonresponse-adjusted sample weights. The ninth section discusses the sampling error of the survey.

The tenth section provides an overview of techniques used to preserve respondent anonymity and data security, and the eleventh section describes a data file newly available to the public for analysis. 8

2

⁶ Experian was chosen through a competitive procurement process to assist in creating NMDB.

⁷ As of this writing, NSMO is currently in the field with Wave 37. Data in this document represent Waves 1 through 30.

⁸ This document has four appendices. Appendix A presents the survey cover letters and the NSMO questionnaire used for Waves 35 to 37. Appendix B lists the retired survey questions. Appendix C cites the codebook that shows the changes in questions over the waves and tabulations with un-weighted frequency responses for all questions for the first 30 waves of the survey associated with mortgages originated from 2013 to 2020. Appendix D contains weighted tabulations broken down by origination year for the same period. These observations represent those included in the public use data file.

2. Development of the Survey

Freddie Mac developed several pilot versions of what has now become NSMO in reaction to the financial crisis of 2008. The initial pilot was administered as a mail survey to about 1,500 borrowers drawn from data maintained by Experian, one of the three national credit bureaus. The pilot used a sample frame like that currently used by NSMO. The survey response rate was much lower than was hoped, at only 12 percent.

To improve the response rate, Freddie Mac retained the services of Don A. Dillman, of Washington State University, a leading expert in mail survey methods. Dr. Dillman focused on improving the survey response rate by changing the contacting strategy, participation incentives, communication strategy, and questionnaire format. His changes were incorporated into a second pilot survey in February 2011 that sampled new Freddie Mac purchase and refinance loans, and this second pilot survey had a vastly improved response rate of 60 percent.

In the fall of 2012, Freddie Mac conducted a third pilot survey targeting a representative national sample of new 2011 mortgage borrowers drawn from Experian files. The response rate for this survey was about 45 percent.

The improvements instituted in the later pilot surveys confirmed the effectiveness of using credit reporting bureau records as the survey sampling frame as well as the effectiveness of the questionnaire and methodology.

The NSMO questionnaire draws heavily on these pilots and leverages the input of an advisory group of experts from government, non-profit organizations, advocacy groups, trade groups, and academia convened by Freddie Mac when creating its questionnaires. This group played a significant role in ensuring that NSMO provided information of interest to policymakers, researchers, and data analysts.

NSMO collects unique information that cannot be obtained from other sources, such as mortgage shopping behavior, mortgage closing experiences, borrowers' expectations regarding house price appreciation and experiences of critical household financial events (for example, a period of unemployment, large medical expenses, or divorce). Borrowers are only asked a handful of questions about their mortgage terms, just enough to verify that the survey reached the correct person and that the respondent discussed the correct loan.

By interagency agreement between FHFA and CFPB, FHFA led the production of NSMO.⁹ This work included seeking public comments concerning information collection as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. On April 25, 2013, FHFA published a 60-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from the OMB in the *Federal Register*. No comments were received for this notice. Subsequently, on July 1, 2013, FHFA published a 30-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from OMB indicating that FHFA had received no comments during the 60-day comment period.

3

⁹ An interagency a greement between FHFA and CFPB was signed on September 12, 2012, in which they agreed to share the costs of the survey and the development of NMDB equally.

Following these *Federal Register* notices, OMB reviewed the FHFA application and approved the request in December 2013, assigning NSMO a control number of 2590-0012 with an expiration date of December 31, 2016. In April 2014, FHFA published a revised System of Records Notice (SORN) in the *Federal Register* extending the system of records entitled "National Mortgage Database Project" to cover NSMO. The second and third revisions of the SORN were published in the *Federal Register* in August 2015 and December 2016, respectively.

After obtaining OMB approval, FHFA modified an existing contract with Experian, which subcontracted the survey administration through a competitive process to Westat, a nationally recognized survey vendor. Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) rules dictate that the survey process must be administered through Experian to maintain consumer privacy because it utilizes borrower names and addresses drawn from credit reporting bureau records.¹⁰

The NMDB team consulted with Experian, Westat, and the Freddie Mac advisory group between December 2013 and February 2014 to finalize the survey questionnaire and supporting materials. The initial survey wave was mailed out in April 2014, and a new wave of the survey has been conducted each quarter since. (See Section 3 for more information about the timeframe for each survey wave.)

In the process of applying for a three-year extension of the OMB control number, FHFA published a 60-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from the OMB in the *Federal Register* on September 13, 2016. Two comment letters were received for this notice. Subsequently, on December 28, 2016, FHFA published a 30-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from OMB indicating receipt of the two comment letters. OMB reviewed the FHFA application and approved the request on April 20, 2017 and extended the collection authority for NSMO through April 30, 2020. On December 10, 2019, FHFA published another 60-day notice, followed by an additional 30-day notice on April 3, 2020. No comments were received, so OMB approved another request for extension on June 17, 2020, which extended the collection authority for NSMO through June 30, 2023.

¹⁰ The Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), Public Law No. 91-508, was enacted in 1970 and substantially amended since, to promote accuracy, fairness, and the privacy of personal information assembled by credit reporting a gencies (CRAs). The Act's primary protection requires that CRAs follow "reasonable procedures" to protect the confidentiality, a ccuracy, and relevance of credit information. To do so, the FCRA establishes a framework of requirements for credit report information that include rights of data quality (right to a ccess and correct), data security, use limitations, requirements for data destruction, notice, user participation (consent), and a ccountability.

3. Detailed Survey Sample Frame

Approximately 6,000 of the closed-end first-lien mortgage loans newly added to NMDB are selected for NSMO following the update of NMDB at the end of each quarter. ¹¹ At present this represents about a 1-in-300¹² sampling rate from the population of such loans as a whole. Loans are selected at random from mortgages that were newly reported to Experian within a year of origination. Once selected to participate in NSMO, a borrower is ineligible to be selected again.

After the sample is selected, Experian eliminates any potential respondents who: (1) have opted out of previous surveys, (2) are deemed not to have usable addresses or names, or (3) are on a do-not-contact list (a small number). Industry guidance (Metro 2 Industry Standards for Credit Reporting) requires that servicers must supply a billing address for each borrower on a trade line (including mortgages). Experian generally uses these borrower billing addresses as the survey mailing addresses.

Table 1 shows the rules for selecting the borrower(s) and address to which the survey is mailed where there are multiple addresses or borrowers associated with a sample loan. The survey is sent to no more than two borrowers who share a common address.

	Tak	ole 1. Rule for Best Address
Number of Borrowers	Same or Different Address	Resulting Survey Recipient
1	Not Applicable	One borrower with Experian's associated best address
2	Same	Two borrower names with one common best address
2	Different	The one borrower and associated best address with the lowest number of open mortgages
>2	Same	Two borrowers with one common best address that has the highest number of trade lines reported
>2	Different	The one borrower and associated best address with the lowest number of open mortgages

¹² During periods of unusually high loan activity, such as the second half of 2020, the sample rate can be as low as 1-in-600. This variation is accounted for in the sampling weights for each observation.

5

¹¹ For a fuller description of how loans are selected for NMDB, see NMDB Technical Report 1.

4. Survey Timeline

At the beginning of each quarter, a 1-in-20 sample of mortgage loans newly reported to Experian over the previous quarter is delivered to the NMDB team from Experian. It takes approximately five weeks to identify and combine duplicative records, draw the NSMO sample, process it at Experian, and print the survey materials. At this point, the survey enters the field.

For the first 21 waves, the survey process was as follows:

On the first day NSMO was in the field, borrowers of sampled mortgages were sent a cover letter, printed questionnaire, and \$5 cash incentive. The mailing and printed questionnaire detailed how borrowers could mail back the completed survey or complete the survey online in either English or Spanish using instructions and a unique PIN provided in the questionnaire packet. At first, approximately one third of the overall survey responses were completed online, but that share is approaching, and sometimes exceeding, 50 percent in recent waves.

At the start of NSMO's second week in the field, borrowers were sent their first reminder letter. If a borrower had not submitted their questionnaire by the middle of NSMO's fifth week in the field, they were sent a second reminder letter, another copy of the printed questionnaire, and an additional \$5 cash incentive. If borrowers still had not replied by the end of NSMO's sixth week in the field, they were sent a third and final reminder letter at the start of NSMO's seventh week in the field. This last letter included the due date for returning the questionnaire to close the communication loop.

After testing several alternatives to this process in waves 22 through 26 (elaborated upon in Section 5), the incentive structure was permanently updated as follows, for waves 27 to the present. The first incentive was increased from \$5 to \$10 for all respondents. The second incentive was changed from \$5 up-front to a promise of \$20 upon receipt of a completed survey. This change necessitated sending a thank-you letter sent only to those who received a fifth-week reminder letter and subsequently returned the survey, to which the \$20 is attached.

During the first eight weeks of each cycle, Experian maintains a NSMO call center to address any questions by borrowers. This call center also allows borrowers to "opt out" of the survey and future surveys. Both FHFA and CFPB describe the survey on their websites so that borrowers can independently verify its legitimacy. The agency officials whose signature is on the cover letter (Daniel E. Coates at FHFA and Janis K. Pappalardo at CFPB) are identified on the websites as senior employees of their respective agencies.

Mail surveys and online responses are accepted and processed until 12 weeks after the mail date, which means that the survey cycle extends about five weeks into the next quarter. After the deadline, responses undergo initial processing by Westat to strip the data of any personally identifiable information such as the names of borrowers or institutions. This takes roughly 25 days, after which the data are delivered to FHFA by Experian.

Once the data arrive at FHFA, it takes approximately eight weeks to complete additional processing of the survey responses, create preliminary sample weights, and assemble a preliminary usable data file. For more information on this process, see Section 7.

The timeline described above applies to each quarterly data collection and production. Because some loans take longer than six months to be reported to the credit bureaus, a data file fully representative of a calendar year will generally not be available until December of the following year. For example, data on 2019 mortgage originations only became available towards the end of 2020. As of this writing, the NSMO survey is currently on its 37th wave. Table 2 shows the survey field periods and sample size for each quarter to date. FHFA has received data from Experian up to the 35th wave as shown in Table 3 in Section 5. The NMDB team has processed, cleaned, and imputed data up to the 30th wave as shown in Tables 4 and 5 in Section 6.

Wave	Table 2. Survey Fiel Survey Field Dates	Calendar Quarter	Surveys Mailed
1	April to June 2014	2014 Quarter 1	15,000
2	June to August 2014	2014 Quarter 2	3,000
3	August to November 2014	2014 Quarter 3	5,992
4	November 2014 to February 2015	2014 Quarter 4	5,795
5	February to May 2015	2015 Quarter 1	5,925
6	May to August 2015	2015 Quarter 2	4,428
7	August to November 2015	2015 Quarter 3	7,352
8	November 2015 to February 2016	2015 Quarter 4	5,913
9	February to May 2016	2016 Quarter 1	5,907
10	May to August 2016	2016 Quarter 2	5,885
11	August to November 2016	2016 Quarter 3	5,904
12	November 2016 to February 2017	2016 Quarter 4	5,919
13	February to May 2017	2017 Quarter 1	5,910
14	May to August 2017	2017 Quarter 2	5,804
15	August to November 2017	2017 Quarter 3	5,809
16	November 2017 to February 2018	2017 Quarter 4	5,707
17	February to May 2018	2018 Quarter 1	5,755
18	April to July 2018	2018 Quarter 2	5,773
19	August to November 2018	2018 Quarter 3	5,759
20	November 2018 to February 2019	2018 Quarter 4	5,770
21	February to May 2019	2019 Quarter 1	5,746
22	May to August 2019	2019 Quarter 2	5,720
23	August to November 2019	2019 Quarter 3	5,737
24	November 2019 to February 2020	2019 Quarter 4	5,676
25	February to May 2020	2020 Quarter 1	5,698
26	May to August 2020	2020 Quarter 2	5,676
27	August to November 2020	2020 Quarter 3	5,694
28	November 2020 to February 2021	2020 Quarter 4	5,658
29	February to May 2021	2021 Quarter 1	5,646
30	May to August 2021	2021 Quarter 2	5,650
31	August to November 2021	2021 Quarter 3	5,675
32	November 2021 to February 2022	2021 Quarter 4	5,650
33	February to May 2022	2022 Quarter 1	5,676
34	May to August 2022	2022 Quarter 2	5,681
35	August to November 2022	2022 Quarter 3	5,667
Total			208,557

5. Survey Samples and Returns

As shown in Table 3, NSMO typically samples about 6,000 new mortgage originations each quarter. Over the 35 waves for which FHFA has received data from Experian, just under 30 percent of the surveys mailed to borrowers of sampled mortgages were completed and, of those completed, over 40 percent were done online. The variation in quarterly sampling methodology and questionnaires are highlighted in this section. Additional details on questionnaire changes are in Appendices B and C.

			Γable 3. S	urvey San	nples and	l Returns				
	Average	Surveys	Postal	Surveys		Surveys C	Completed			
Wave	Sampling Weight	Mailed	Non- Delivery	Delivered	Total	By Mail	Online English	Online Spanish	Opt-Out	
1	464.21	15,000	218	14,782	5,793	4,410	1,360	23	169	
2	296.14	3,000	37	2,963	1,076	858	214	4	31	
3	280.96	5,992	110	5,882	2,073	1,534	524	15	40	
4	263.63	5,795	86	5,709	2,020	1,496	514	10	53	
5	247.32	5,925	126	5,799	2,089	1,567	520	2	39	
6	238.92	4,428	38	4,390	1,489	1,133	353	3	31	
7	297.14	7,352	147	7,205	2,494	1,744	744	6	39	
8	326.97	5,913	99	5,814	1,899	1,305	587	7	24	
9	292.31	5,907	155	5,752	1,824	1,230	584	10	42	
10	253.27	5,885	98	5,787	1,765	1,148	607	10	36	
11	278.27	5,904	172	5,732	1,733	1,097	627	9	21	
12	343.76	5,919	167	5,752	1,778	1,078	687	13	18	
13	363.21	5,910	127	5,783	1,885	1,197	675	13	32	
14	318.55	5,804	107	5,697	1,681	1,085	588	8	21	
15	270.61	5,809	136	5,673	1,537	765	760	12	24	
16	305.24	5,707	164	5,543	1,507	757	738	12	26	
17	304.31	5,755	112	5,643	1,647	879	762	6	45	
18	262.93	5,773	163	5,610	1,536	812	711	13	32	
19	266.84	5,759	242	5,517	1,464	760	695	9	29	
20	284.50	5,770	206	5,564	1,396	762	627	7	11	
21	266.12	5,746	251	5,495	1,511	777	719	15	17	
22	213.35	5,720	219	5,501	1,405	757	630	18	29	
23	262.92	5,737	235	5,502	1,241	652	579	10	29	
24	346.01	5,676	192	5,484	1,268	615	648	5	21	
25	398.60	5,698	139	5,559	1,780	771	998	11	27	
26	364.62	5,676	117	5,559	1,743	666	1,068	9	23	

		Table	3. Survey	Samples	and Retu	rns (cont	inued)		
	Average	Surveys	Postal	Surveys		Surveys C	Completed		
Wave	Sampling Weight	Mailed	Non- Delivery	Delivered	Total	By Mail	Online English	Online Spanish	Opt-Out
27	606.49 ¹³	5,694	91	5,603	1,821	717	1,092	12	19
28	586.42	5,658	117	5,541	1,752	562	1,178	12	9
29	626.20	5,646	124	5,522	1,761	677	1,077	7	26 22 19 22
30	629.72	5,650	146	5,504	1,576 ¹⁴	646	916	14	
31	621.31	5,675	169	5,506	1,458	567	877	14	
32	509.98	5,650	182	5,468	1,415	552	852	11	
33	463.01	5,676	198	5,478	1,482	720	748	14	26
34	468.72	5,681	161	5,520	1,253	596	643	14	28
35	352.82	5,667	208	5,459	1,270	540	711	19	23
Total	-	208,557	5,259	203,298	61,422	35,432	25,613	377	1,103
Percent o Surveys	f Mailed	100.00%	2.52%	97.48%	29.45%	16.99%	12.28%	0.18%	0.53%
Percent o	f Completed	Surveys		_	100.00%	57.69%	41.70%	0.61%	NA

In 2014, the first year of the survey, a modified version was conducted for the first three waves in April, June, and September. Wave 1 (April) included a sample of 15,000 mortgages. This was a catch-up period to cover cases originated in 2013 and newly reported to Experian in the archives for June, September and December 2013.

Wave 2 (June) included 3,000 surveys and was for mortgages that were originated in 2013 and newly reported to Experian between January and March 2014. For Wave 3 (August), Westat mailed out 6,000 surveys representing mortgages that were originated in 2013 and reported to Experian between March and June 2014 within a year of origination as well as any mortgages originated in 2014 and reported to Experian between January and June 2014.

The fourth wave, mailed in November 2014, was the first sample that is comparable to subsequent surveys. It was comprised of any mortgage newly reported to Experian in the most recent quarter (July to September 2014) that was reported within a year of origination. It is also the first wave where Experian eliminated potential sample cases deemed to not have legitimate addresses or names prior to mailing. Other than slight changes to two questions, the questionnaire was unchanged from prior waves. This same questionnaire was used for Wave 5.

Initial analysis of data from the first four waves of the survey prompted major revisions to the questionnaire around this time. Examination of responses suggested that respondents may have

¹³ The average sampling weight increased in 2020 due to the unusually large volume of originations in that year.

¹⁴ Survey responses noticeably dropped in Wave 30, which was mailed in the second quarter of 2021. FHFA suspects that increased COVID-19 vaccination rates and changes in the interest rate environment drove this change, although this subject is still being investigated.

frequently misunderstood or misinterpreted some of the questions.¹⁵ This issue was addressed in changes made to the questionnaire for parts of Wave 6 (details below) and all of Wave 7. Users should be aware of these interpretation inconsistencies when using data from the earlier waves.

These revisions were anticipated, so Wave 6 was broken into two parts. Mortgages that were originated in 2014 were mailed on time, following the established schedule and using the original questionnaire; mortgages originated in 2015 were held back to be mailed with the new questionnaire alongside the usual Wave 7 sample.

Wave 7 consisted of three samples drawn independently. The first were respondents selected for Wave 6 with loans originated in 2015. The second were respondents with mortgages newly reported to Experian between April and June 2015 (the normal quarterly sample frame). Finally, a special sample of borrowers residing in "remote rural" counties as defined using a U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) criterion with 2014 loan originations reporting to Experian within a year of origination was selected. ¹⁶ Each subsample was assigned a different sample weight.

All subsequent waves of the survey sent out only the regular sample mailed on-schedule. Minor revisions occurred roughly twice a year and can be tracked in the codebook in Appendix C. One prevailing trend during these waves was a slow but steady decline in the response rate, a problem facing many other surveys like NSMO during this period.

During waves 22 through 26, experiments with survey methodology were conducted to address the issue of declining response rate. In wave 22, one half of the usual 6,000 borrowers were randomly selected to receive a \$10 cash incentive with the first mailing instead of the \$5 incentive the other half received. In waves 23 and 24, one half of the borrowers randomly received revised cover and reminder letters while the other half received the originals. (Edits were made to the revised letters between waves 23 and 24 to boost response rates.) In wave 25, all borrowers were sent an initial incentive of \$10. For the second incentive, one half of the non-respondents were randomly sent the normal \$5 cash incentive as in previous waves and the other half were randomly sent a letter informing them that they will be sent a \$20 incentive upon completion of the survey. In wave 26, all borrowers who had not returned a survey by week 5 were told they would be sent the \$20 incentive upon completion of the survey; half were given a \$5 incentive in week one and the other half were given \$10.

The results of these experiments allowed the NMDB team to create a new incentive structure for waves 27 and beyond, as discussed previously. All borrowers are sent a \$10 incentive in week one and, if they had not yet responded, told in week five that they would receive \$20 upon receipt of a completed survey.

¹⁵ A list of retired survey questions is provided in Appendix B. Changes to the language of the questions across waves are provided in Appendix C.

11

¹⁶ See http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes/documentation.aspx for the USDA definition of "rural" used for this sample.

6. Usable Survey Responses

Returned questionnaires and online responses were evaluated to determine the set of usable responses. Table 4 summarizes the results of this analysis through the 30 waves which have been completely processed and illustrates the four criteria for rejecting a completed questionnaire.

		Table	4. Usable	Survey R	Response	 S				
			Not Us			Usable				
Survey Wave	Returned	Duplicate or Ineligible	Answered "No" to Q1	Did Not Finish Survey	Wrong Loan	Unweighted	Weighted ¹⁷			
1	5,792	91	737	127	216	4,621	6,854,525			
2	1,076	15	85	16	38	922	873,021			
3	2,073	41	108	36	58	1,830	1,651,764			
4	2,020	123	131	46	63	1,657	1,391,703			
5	2,089	41	81	46	62	1,859	1,437,424			
6	1,489	117	70	29	50	1,223	988,173			
7	2,494	73	142	78	96	2,105	2,142,577			
8	1,899	46	72	28	59	1,694	1,888,906			
9	1,824	42	68	27	37	1,650	1,686,044			
10	1,765	63	84	40	58	1,520	1,424,644			
11	1,733	43	92	38	37	1,523	1,594,209			
12	1,778	61	101	49	50	1,517	1,972,495			
13	1,885	50	102	52	54	1,627	2,089,910			
14	1,681	55	66	52	44	1,464	1,800,445			
15	1,537	35	136	78	33	1,255	1,528,135			
16	1,507	33	115	70	26	1,263	1,707,207			
17	1,647	31	126	62	34	1,394	1,717,221			
18	1,536	28	113	60	34	1,301	1,491,076			
19	1,464	40	101	59	31	1,233	1,497,773			
20	1,396	38	114	66	24	1,154	1,602,019			
21	1,511	66	125	82	23	1,215	1,451,152			
22	1,405	39	126	56	23	1,161	1,189,426			
23	1,241	31	86	49	32	1,043	1,467,882			
24	1,268	57	60	78	37	1,036	1,874,336			
25	1,780	30	55	73	63	1,559	2,226,978			
26	1,743	27	39	66	81	1,530	2,033,121			
27	1,821	15	54	70	94	1,588	3,419,391			
28	1,752	21	52	79	75	1,525	3,271,637			
29	1,761	17	39	80	67	1,558	3,490,439			
30	1,576	11	41	53	90	1,381	3,530,210			

-

¹⁷ The weighted usable total excludes the remote rural sample in wave 7.

	Table 4. Usable Survey Responses											
	Returned		Not Us	Usable								
Survey Wave		Duplicate or Ineligible	Answered "No" to Q1	Did Not Finish Survey	Wrong Loan	Unweighted	Weighted ¹⁸					
Total	54,543	1,380	3,321	1,745	1,689	46,408	61,293,843					
Percent of Mailed Surveys	30.27%	0.77%	1.84%	0.97%	0.94%	25.75%	NA					

Note: The weighted totals in this table are less than the weighted totals in NMDB because the credit bureau suppresses survey mailings to individuals who are in the "do not mail" list. The average difference by year is less than 5 percent.

The first category of unusable surveys comes from respondents whose sample loans were ultimately removed from NMDB after the survey had been executed either because they were deemed to have duplicate trade lines and to not meet the criteria for remaining in NMDB or where the sample loan was determined to be a second and not a first mortgage lien. In some instances, the survey response itself led to the removal, as margin notes or comments indicated that the loan was a second lien. This was a particular problem in Wave 4 where a significant number of respondents were removed from NMDB for reasons unrelated to the survey.

The second criterion is a "no" response to the first question (Q1). Q1 is used as a screener question to confirm that the survey respondent took out a mortgage during the reporting period (which Experian records suggest that they did). In the first wave, a surprisingly high number of respondents (737) said that they had not taken out a mortgage. An analysis of these responses suggests that many people did not consider a refinance a "new" mortgage. Consequently, in Wave 2, the wording of Q1 was changed to add the phrase "including any mortgage refinances." With this change, the share of "no" responses to Q1 decreased from 13 percent to 8 percent.

The next exclusion criterion eliminates breakoffs, defined as those for which the respondent stopped answering questions halfway through or answered less than 50 percent of the questions overall.

The last criterion for exclusion was for respondents who provided information on the wrong loan. The sampling frame was tied to a particular loan associated with the borrower. However, the questionnaire did not refer explicitly to that loan. Instead, respondents who had taken out multiple loans during the reference period were asked to report on the "most recent." In some instances, this was not the sample loan. This was a particular problem in Wave 1 which, as a "catch up" survey, had a relatively long reference period. Also, some respondents who have refinanced their mortgage report on the original home purchase mortgage rather than the refinance. Finally, in a few instances it appears that the survey went to the wrong person, with answers bearing no resemblance to the sample loan features as characterized by Experian records. In each of these circumstances the survey response was removed from the data set used for analysis.

-

¹⁸ The weighted usable total excludes the remote rural sample in wave 7.

Given this, the rate of usable responses in each wave is lower than the survey response rates reported earlier. Overall, for the first 30 waves, 46,408 usable responses were obtained from 54,543 returned surveys. This resulted in a usable response rate of 25.75% out of the mailed-out questionnaires. The distribution of the usable surveys by mortgage origination year is shown in Table 5.

	Table 5. Usable Survey Responses by Origination Year												
Survey Wave	Total Usable	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2014 Rural			
1	4,621	4,621	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	922	922	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3	1,830	507	1,323	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	1,657	11	1,646	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
5	1,859	5	1,854	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	1,223	0	1,223	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
7	1,818	0	200	1,618	0	0	0	0	0	287			
8	1,694	0	12	1,682	0	0	0	0	0	0			
9	1,650	0	1	1,649	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	1,520	0	0	1,052	468	0	0	0	0	0			
11	1,523	0	0	155	1,368	0	0	0	0	0			
12	1,517	0	0	12	1,505	0	0	0	0	0			
13	1,627	0	0	2	1,625	0	0	0	0	0			
14	1,464	0	0	0	1,116	348	0	0	0	0			
15	1,255	0	0	0	179	1,076	0	0	0	0			
16	1,263	0	0	0	6	1,257	0	0	0	0			
17	1,394	0	0	0	5	1,389	0	0	0	0			
18	1,301	0	0	0	0	942	359	0	0	0			
19	1,233	0	0	0	0	131	1,102	0	0	0			
20	1,154	0	0	0	0	5	1,149	0	0	0			
21	1,215	0	0	0	0	1	1,214	0	0	0			
22	1,161	0	0	0	0	0	788	373	0	0			
23	1,043	0	0	0	0	0	78	965	0	0			
24	1,036	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,036	0	0			
25	1,559	0	0	0	0	0	2	1,557	0	0			
26	1,530	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,016	514	0			
27	1,588	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	1,532	0			
28	1,525	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1,522	0			
29	1,557	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,557	0			
30	496	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	496	0			
Total	45,235	6,066	6,259	6,170	6,272	5,149	4,692	5,006	5,621	287			
Percent of Mailed Surveys	25.10%	3.37%	3.47%	3.42%	3.48%	2.86%	2.60%	2.78%	3.12%	0.16%			

7. Cleaning, Editing, and Imputing Responses

One advantage that NSMO has over other surveys is the availability of credit and administrative data, much of which appears to be quite reliable. These data can be used to assist in the editing and imputation process. Four primary sources of such data are available in processing NSMO:

- (1) Credit data from Experian on sample loans;
- (2) Data collected by Experian from other data sources on the survey respondents, including loan servicers and data companies;
- (3) Information from matches to administrative loan files (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Federal Housing Administration (FHA), Department of Veteran Affairs (VA), Rural Housing Services (RHS), and Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLB)); and
- (4) Information for loans that could be matched to Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) files (HMDA data through calendar year 2020 are available as of this writing). 19

The credit and administrative data are used to determine which borrower in the Experian data corresponded to the respondent (and spouse/partner of the respondent) in the survey and to infer the loan the respondent had in mind when answering the survey. These data are also useful in determining if respondents correctly identified their loan as a home purchase loan or a refinance.

The survey responses are first subjected to thorough editing and review after being delivered to FHFA. This initial phase consists of standard editing—correcting numbers reported in the wrong units, changing answers in responses based on margin notes and comments, assigning responses for questions with open-ended "other" responses, dealing with multiple responses to a question that calls for only one response, and deciding how to handle situations where respondents followed the wrong skip pattern.

Tabulations of the raw unweighted—but edited—responses to all the survey questions in Appendix C. Data are presented for usable observations in Waves 1 through 30 with loan originations between 2013 and 2020. These observations are those included in the public use data file²⁰.

After editing and cleaning the survey response data, missing responses are imputed using answers to related questions or statistical models estimated based on credit and administrative data and answers to other questions in the survey. Imputations are designed to replicate the level of inherent inconsistencies between related variables in the actual (non-imputed) responses by

_

¹⁹ Merges with most a dministrative files are conducted behind a firewall at Experian using borrower name, a ddress, date of birth and Social Security number to ensure the highest quality match accuracy (neither FHFA nor CFPB staff ever receive such information). However, merging the NMDB data with the HMDA data and the FHLB loan files must rely on variables common to both datasets, including the original loan balance, the opening date of the mortgage and the general location of the property (census tract or state/county) but not property a ddress or borrower name. Unfortunately, mortgage servicers report the billing address of the mortgage borrowers to Experian, but this is not necessarily the property address, particularly for mortgages on non-owner-occupied properties. Those, when converted to a census tract for matching it may be incorrect. Thus, HMDA merges are less accurate than those employing directly identifying information such as name and Social Security number because the latter are less reliant on address.

²⁰ Section 10 contains an explanation of the differences between the public use file and the internal use file.

the respondents. Actual responses are generally not changed (except in cases where they are edited as described above). In order to preserve the original responses, the raw responses are retained with missing responses coded as such. A parallel set of variables ("X" variables) are constructed where all missing responses are imputed, and necessary responses are edited as described above. Each instance in which an X variable differs from original responses is recorded by a shadow variable ("J" variables) that indicates the method and reason why the change was made. Missing responses typically total about three to five percent of responses for most questions and only in a few instances were more than 10 percent. The X variables are not created when a directly comparable credit or administrative variable is available for all respondents (e.g., loan amount, loan payment, number of co-signers) as comparable credit or administrative variables can be used in lieu of survey responses in analysis. Instead, Z variables are created in their place to indicate whether the respondents answered the question.

The initial set of imputations are based on inferences drawn from patterns of response. Patterns of missing responses sometimes provide an indication of how the respondent would have answered if they had taken the time to fill out all answers of a group. For example, one question reads, "how important were each of the following..." and provides choices of important or not important. Some respondents only mark "important" for the choices important to them. Other respondents might only mark choices that are "not important." When all answers are in a group with only one side answered, the other answers are imputed as the opposite choice. For example, when a respondent only marks choices that are "important," the missing questions were imputed as "not important."

The survey skips do not always work for every respondent and some respondents miss the leadin question. The answers to the lead-in question were often imputed based on actual answers to the follow-up questions. For example, one question reads, "how many different lenders/mortgage brokers did you end up applying to" and provides options for one to five. When a respondent chooses one, they skip the next question about reasons they applied to more than one. If the lead-in question was left blank, any yes answer to the follow-up is considered a reason to impute that they applied to more than one lender. All "no" answers to the follow-up questions mean that they probably only applied to one lender. When respondents skip both a lead-in and follow-up question, both are imputed with one of the imputation models.

Once these inferential imputations are taken care of, statistical models are used to impute the remaining missing answers. The most common type of question in NSMO provides a simple "yes" or "no" answer. A binomial logistic model provides an estimated probability of a "yes" answer. For some questions, such as the number of lenders or brokers the respondent seriously considered, the answers are in a logical order. For these types of questions, an ordered logistic model is used to determine the probability of each answer. For other questions the order does not matter, and the answer choices are not related to the previous choice. For these questions, a multinomial logistic model is used, and the reference group is selected to be the most common answer. Again, the model produces a probability of each answer response. A random number is drawn with a different seed for every question and it is then compared to the probability of each response level. When the random number falls below the cumulative probability of an answer, that answer is used as the imputed response. This method injects some randomness to the

imputed answers, but the goal is to provide a distribution of imputed answers that mimics the distribution of the answers where no imputation was necessary.

The dependent variable (y_i) in all the models used is a value for the missing answer. The vector of characteristics (x_i) can include information from the credit files or answers to survey questions. Key demographic variables (age, gender, education, ethnicity, and income) are imputed first. For these variables, high quality administrative data are generally available and can be used directly to impute a value for the X variable. For example, lender-reported information provides high quality data on age. Administrative data also provide reliable information on race, income, and interest rate. HMDA data also provide reliable information on race, income, and gender.

The initial statistical imputation models first use all the respondents who provided answers using a standard set of predictors to provide an initial imputation. The models use age, loan amount, credit score, loan type, education and income level. Once the initial imputation values are established, the models are enhanced for any predictor that provides a good fit to the models and these models use actual and imputed values from all respondents. The missing values are imputed statistically using an iterative process where each subsequent run of the model uses the actual responses and the imputed responses from the previous run. Iterating in this way ensures that correlations among the imputed values will better reflect correlations among observations where responses were available.

The regression runs always start with key variables first. As with the initial imputations, the first variables imputed are age, loan amount, credit score, loan type, education and income. The next level covered by the models imputes marital status, race, and ethnicity. The process then moves on to other questions and often follows the order of the survey instrument for less consequential questions. Lead-in questions are always imputed before the follow-up question to keep the follow-up imputations consistent with the lead-in question.

As the recursive models run, the coefficient of each predictor variable in each model is tracked and compared with values from the previous runs. The recursive runs are only stopped when the coefficients have settled down with minimal changes in the last few runs. This ensures that the recursive effect on each model has fed into all the predictions of imputed values and stabilized.

To find the best model for each imputation, the last recursive run is selected, and the actual response is subtracted from the predicted value of the response. The difference represents the error term or the portion of the probability of a response that was not explained by the predictive variables. A large matrix of error terms is constructed, and the values are tested for correlation. Error terms with a correlation coefficient of over 0.30 get explored as possible indicators of new predictor variables. Each year, new predictors are placed into the recursive model and the results are tested to see if the model improves. With improved models, the recursive runs are restarted until all the beta coefficients settle down again.

The final imputations rely on a further set of quality control checks. Conditional correlation tables of model residuals are constructed to identify any additional significant explanatory variables which may have been left out of individual equations. Further, imputed values of

similar related variables are sometimes adjusted to ensure that the covariances among the imputed answers mirror that of the non-imputed responses.

8. Sample Non-Response Weights

There are several ways calculations based on the NSMO raw survey responses may not be representative of the population as a whole. First, as shown earlier in Table 2, the survey waves do not have the same sampling rates. Second, only about one-third of the sampled borrowers completed the survey. Commonly, in survey sampling, some individuals chosen for the sample are unwilling or unable to participate in the survey. Non-response bias is the bias that results when respondents differ systematically from non-respondents. A common method for mitigating possible non-response bias is to use weights to align the characteristics of respondents and the population more closely. This is known as "non-response weighting." Such weights are generally calculated from statistical models. Specifically, the non-response weights in NSMO are designed to "blow up" the usable sample (column 7 in Table 4 to the total surveys mailed (column 3 in Table 3, less duplicate and ineligible loans taken out of NMDB (column 3 in Table 4 and a comparable proportion of non-returned surveys not shown in the table).

Often, little is known about survey non-responders, so the statistical models used to construct non-response weights are quite simplistic. Unlike many other surveys, NSMO has extensive credit and administrative data on both responding and non-responding borrowers that can be used to estimate non-response weights.

Sample non-response weights are estimated with logistic models separately for each sample wave and within a wave for loans with a single borrower versus those with multiple borrowers. The models estimate the probability of getting a usable response for each wave of the survey. The predictive equations have had pseudo-R-square values ranging from 0.0454 to 0.1560. The models for joint borrowers perform better than those for single borrower. The largest pseudo-R-square values in models used for 2020 loans were for models estimated on data from Wave 26 joint borrowers. Key predictive variables included are loan amount, borrower age, the income relied upon for underwriting, the combined loan-to-value ratio, an indicator of whether it was a home purchase or refinance loan, and the interest rate spread over the prevailing prime interest rate at origination. The models also control for credit score, for geography using Census Divisions, and for demographic characteristics on family composition, race, ethnicity, gender, and educational attainment.

The model's predicted probabilities of response were placed into 5 equal groups of 20 percent each. The average of the response rates from each of these five groups was used to calculate a response weight as the inverse of these five average rates. Once within-wave sample non-response weights are estimated, they are multiplied by the wave sample weight to provide an overall weight.

Table 6 demonstrates the effect of differential sampling weights for the first 30 waves. Column one shows the distribution among various demographic and loan categories of the raw survey responses. Column two provides the distribution using estimated overall weights. Finally, column three shows the average overall weight for each category.

Table 6. Overall Weights, 2013 - 202	0 Originatio	ns (Waves	1-30)
	Unweighted Percentage	Weighted Percentage	Average Weight
Loan Category			
Purchase	47.8%	48.7%	1,329
Refinance	48.2%	47.3%	1,282
Other (i.e. bought out co-borrower, put loan on mortgage-free property)	4.00%	4.0%	1,282
	100.00%	100.00%	
Loan Size			
Less than \$50,000	2.2%	2.1%	1,252
\$50,000 to \$99,999	12.2%	11.5%	1,234
\$100,000 to \$149,999	18.3%	17.7%	1,261
\$150,000 to \$199,999	17.0%	16.8%	1,290
\$200,000 to \$249,999	13.7%	13.7%	1,310
\$250,000 to \$299,999	10.5%	10.4%	1,289
\$300,000 to \$349,999	7.7%	8.0%	1,356
\$350,000 to \$399,999	5.5%	5.6%	1,339
\$400,000 or more	13.1%	14.2%	1,418
	100.00%	100.00%	
Mortgage Term to Maturity			
Less than 15 Years	3.7%	3.1%	1,084
15 Years	15.4%	14.0%	1,184
Between 15 and 30 Years	6.6%	6.7%	1,335
30 Years or More	74.3%	76.2%	1,337
	100.00%	100.00%	
Loan to Value (LTV) Ratio at Origination			
Less than 75%	40.1%	37.5%	1,221
75% to 79%	11.7%	11.3%	1,259
80%	9.8%	9.4%	1,257
81% to 89%	9.2%	9.3%	1,317
90% or More	29.3%	32.5%	1,448
	100.00%	100.00%	,
Respondent Credit Score (VantageScore® 3.0)			
Lower than 620	4.7%	6.2%	1,719
620 to 639	3.1%	4.0%	1,672
640 to 659	4.7%	5.9%	1,639
660 to 679	5.4%	6.3%	1,535
680 to 699	6.1%	6.9%	1,470
700 to 719	7.2%	7.9%	1,435
720 to 739	9.1%	9.5%	1,370
740 or Higher	59.7%	53.2%	1,162
-	100.00%	100.00%	

9. Sampling Error

Errors in survey values can stem from several sources. Sampling error—the variability expected in estimates based on a sample instead of a census—is often an important source of error. For NSMO, estimates of statistics that apply to most mortgages may be measured with reasonable precision, but sampling variability will generally be greater for estimates for less-common types of loans or mortgage features.

Other errors occur because borrowers who respond to the survey or to a particular question are not random. Imputation and sample non-response weights correct for some, but not all, of this error. Other errors occur when respondents interpret a question differently than intended by the survey or other respondents. As noted above, for some questions, this problem was serious enough to call into question the use of the variable.

Analyses of these data with software that assumes the data are from a simple random sample will under-estimate the standard errors (statistical precision) of the estimates. Users are encouraged to use analytic procedures (so-called "survey" procedures in most major statistical analysis packages) that take into account the effect of the differential sampling and non-response adjustment weights on the estimates.

10. Security and Privacy Measures

The most important way NMDB protects its sampled borrowers is by keeping all personally identifiable information (PII) behind Experian's firewalls. No Social Security numbers, names, addresses, or institution names ever arrive at FHFA.

The use of a sample in the full NMDB also substantially reduces the privacy risk associated with any data collection. By contrast, a universal registry can present challenges for privacy since it is known that a particular loan must be in the dataset. However, for a 1-in-20 sample, the odds are 95 out of 100 that a particular loan is not in the database. In addition, the sample used is large enough to support almost all types of statistically valid analyses, but small enough to manage logistically, thus dramatically reducing both contract and computing costs.

All survey responses for NSMO received by Westat are purged of any information related to the name of the borrower, address of the borrower, or name of any financial institution before leaving Westat. This is done to maintain the de-identified, confidential nature of the data and to ensure that the survey responses cannot be connected to a name or address. Borrowers are informed that their participation in the survey is voluntary and that their responses will be kept strictly confidential.

As a final security measure, two versions of the NSMO data are made available outside of the production environment: a public use file (PUF) available to the general public and an internal use file (IUF) accessible only to federal employees who have signed an agreement to use the data responsibly.

Neither the IUF nor the PUF contain any PII from borrowers, but the IUF contains geographic data and continuous variables that have been deemed a reidentification risk and are therefore not released to the public. The U.S. Census Bureau periodically reviews the PUF to ensure that its release cannot jeopardize respondent privacy. More information about measures taken to protect publicly available data can be found in the next section of this report.

11. Public Use File

On November 8, 2018, FHFA and CFPB released the first NSMO public use file based on the first 15 quarterly waves of the survey. It has since been updated regularly, with the most recent update published March 3, 2023. This updated file contains data for 45,235 sample mortgages originated from 2013 through 2020 based on 5,000 to 6,000 usable surveys per year.²¹

To ensure that the public use file adequately protects privacy, FHFA entered into an agreement with the U.S. Census Bureau to conduct an independent third-party assessment of the proposed public use file to determine whether PII can be re-identified from the NSMO public use data set by matching against other publicly available data sets. On June 11, 2021, the U.S. Census Bureau submitted a memorandum to FHFA stating that the NSMO public use data and the methods used to protect privacy in that data met its privacy standard for public release. The same method was used for the current public data release.

The public use file excludes virtually all geographic variables to protect privacy. Specifically, the public use file excludes geographical information such as Census region, Census division, state, county, city, zip code, and Census tract. It only includes a flag indicating whether the property associated with the mortgage was in a metropolitan area tract designated as low-to-moderate income (LMI) for Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) purposes, non-CRA-LMI metropolitan tract, or in a non-metropolitan area.

The public use file contains 314 survey data elements on mortgage shopping behavior, mortgage closing experience as well as borrower perception, opinion, and outlook about their mortgages. It also contains over 200 supplementary data elements about the sample mortgages and borrowers, such as the loan amount (in \$50,000 categories), loan-to-value ratio, debt-to-income ratio, origination and quarterly VantageScore® 3.0 credit scores of borrowers, and quarterly performance status of the mortgages through December 2022.

-

²¹ The NSMO public use file does not include 287 "remote rural" special sample loans originated in 2014 because they were sampled differently.

Appendix A. NSMO Letters and Questionnaire, Waves 35 to 37

This appendix shows the NSMO cover letters and survey questionnaire (or instrument) that was mailed to the borrowers associated with the sampled mortgages during Waves 35 to 37. All the questionnaires used in Waves 1 through 37 are available on www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata.





Improving Mortgage Experiences in America

National Survey of Mortgage Originations

You have been selected to participate in an important national survey. Learning directly from borrowers like you about your experiences obtaining a mortgage to purchase or refinance your home will help us improve lending practices and the mortgage process for future borrowers like you.

To Complete the Survey Online

PC/TABLET Go to: www.NSMOsurvey.com and enter the unique access code

provided in the letter and your 5-digit zip code.

MOBILE DEVICE Text your unique access code to (202) 759-2029 to receive a

link to the survey or scan the QR code.

ESPAÑOL Vaya a: www.NSMOsurvey.com e ingrese el código de acceso

único que se le envió en la carta y su código postal de 5 digitos.

Para contestar la encuesta en un aparato móvil/teléfono inteligente

Envie en un mensaje de texto su código de acceso único al (202) 759-2029

o escanee el código QR.

While we prefer online to help us save costs for processing, it is important we hear from you. If you prefer paper, you can mail back the completed survey in the enclosed pre-paid postage envelope.

If you have any questions or need assistance completing this due to a disability, please call us toll free at 1-855-531-0724, TTY #711 or visit our web sites www.fhfa.gov/nsmo or www.consumerfinance.gov.

National Survey of Mortgage Originations

Who is sponsoring this survey?

The **Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA)**, is an independent regulatory agency responsible for the effective supervision, regulation, and housing mission oversight of **Fannie Mae**, **Freddie Mac**, the <u>Federal Home Loan Bank System</u>, and the Office of Finance, and ensures a competitive, liquid, efficient, and resilient housing finance market.

The **Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)** is a Federal agency created in 2010 to make mortgages, credit cards, automobile and other consumer loans work better and ensure that these markets are fair, transparent, and competitive.

How was I selected for this survey?

Survey recipients were selected at random from across the United States. Your answers will not be connected to your name or any other identifying information.

How long will it take?

The time will vary based on your experiences, but you can expect to spend 15-25 minutes.

Privacy Act Notice: In accordance with the Privacy Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 552a), the following notice is provided. The information requested on this Survey is collected pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 4544 for the purposes of gathering information for the National Mortgage Database. Routine uses which may be made of the collected information can be found in the Federal Housing Finance Agency's System of Records Notice (SORN) FHFA-21 National Mortgage Database. Providing the requested information is voluntary. Submission of the survey authorizes FHFA to collect the information provided and to disclose it as set forth in the referenced SORN.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

OMB No. 2590-0012 Expires 6/30/23

1.	Did you take out or co-sign to sometime in the last couple of purchase or any refinance/m existing loan?	of year	s includi	ng a	6.	When you began the process of getting this mortgage, how concerned were you about qualifying for a mortgage? Uery Somewhat Not at all					
Г	Yes					_ ,	_		_		
	\square No \rightarrow Skip to 71 on page 7				7.	How firm an idea	-	e abo	ut the		
2 .	When did you take out this i	nortga	nge? If vo	u took		mortgage you wa	inted?				
	out or co-signed for more than refer to your experience with	one n	nortgage, j			Firm idea	Some idea	l	Li	Little idea	
	refinance, modification, or nev	w mort	gage.		8.	How much did ye	ou use each o	f the	followin	g	
	,					sources to get inf		out m	ortgages	or	
	month year					mortgage lenders	s?				
	•							A Lot	A Little	Not At All	
3.	Did we mail this survey to th			e		Your mortgage lend	er/broker				
	property you financed with	this m	ortgage?			Other mortgage lend	ders/brokers				
	Yes No					Real estate agents of	r builders				
4.	Who signed or co-signed for	thic n	antaga o	•		Material in the mail					
٠.	Mark <u>all</u> that apply.	tills II	ioi igage:	•		Websites that provide		_	_	_	
						on getting a mortg					
	I signed		/			Newspaper/TV/Rad					
	☐ Spouse/partner including a for☐ Parents	rmer sp	ouse/partn	er		Friends/relatives/co					
	Children					Bankers, credit unio	ons or financial	П	П	П	
	Other relatives					Housing counselors					
	Other (e.g. friend, business pa	rtner)				Other (specify)					
	TA 1 1 1 1			• ,		(1 3)					
→	If you co-signed this loan wi account all co-signers as bes answering the survey. If no based on your own situation	t you o co-sig	an when		9.	Which one of the shopping process		est des	scribes y	our	
5.	When you began the process mortgage, how familiar were					☐ I picked the loan type first, and then I picked the mortgage lender/broker					
	co-signers) with each of the	•		Not		I picked the mor		oker fi	irst, and tl	nen	
		Very	Somewhat		10	Which one of the	following he	st des	cribes h	ωw	
	The mortgage interest rates available at that time				10.	you applied for t	his mortgage	?			
	The different types of mortgages available					☐ Directly to a len ☐ Through a mortg	gage broker who	o work		10n	
	The mortgage process	Ш		Ш			ers to get you a				
	The down payment needed to qualify for a mortgage					☐ Through a build ☐ Other (specify)	er who arrange	d finan	cing		
	The income needed to qualify for a mortgage				11.	How many differ	ent mortgag	e lend	lers/brol	kers	
	Your credit history or credit score	ore				did you seriously					
	The money needed at closing					where to apply fo					
						□ 1 □ 2	3 04	4	☐ 5 or m	nore	



12.	How many different mortgage len did you end up applying to?	ders/br	okers	17. How open were you to suggestions from your mortgage lender/broker about mortgages with different features or terms?					
Γ	1 2 3 4	5 or mo	re		☐ Very ☐ Somewhat	ı	Not at all		
	13. Did you apply to more than o lender/broker for any of the f reasons?			18.	How important were each of the foll determining the mortgage you took	— owing	; in		
	Searching for better loan terms				Impar	tant I	Not mportant		
	Concern over qualifying for a loan	_	$\overline{\Box}$		Lower interest rate]			
	Information learned from the	_	_		Lower APR (Annual Percentage Rate)	<u>.</u>]			
	"Loan Estimate"				Lower closing fees]			
	Turned down on earlier application	1 🔲			Lower down payment]			
\downarrow					Lower monthly payment]			
14.	How important were each of the fe	ollowing	g in		An interest rate fixed for the life				
	choosing the mortgage lender/brol	ker you	used		of the loan				
	for the mortgage you took out?				A term of 30 years]			
	Im	portant I	Not mnortant		No mortgage insurance	J	Ш		
	Having an established banking	iportunt i	прогили						
	relationship			19.	Your lender may have given you a b	ookle	t		
	Having a local office or branch nearby				"Your home loan toolkit: A step-by-	step			
	Used previously to get a mortgage				guide," do you remember receiving	a copy	y?		
	Mortgage lender/broker is a personal				☐ Yes				
	friend or relative				□ No				
	Paperless online mortgage process				☐ Don't know				
	Recommendation from a friend/ relative/co-worker			20		C			
	Recommendation from a real			20.	In the process of getting this mortgagyour mortgage lender/broker, did yo		111		
	estate agent/home builder				your mortgage lender/broker, did yo		3.7		
	Reputation of mortgage lender/broker				Have to add another co-signer to qualify	Yes	No		
	Spoke my primary language, which is		_		Resolve credit report errors or problems	Н	H		
	not English	Ш			Answer follow-up requests for more	Ш			
	Accommodations for people with				information about income or assets				
	disabilities	Ш			Have more than one appraisal	$\overline{\Box}$	$\overline{\Box}$		
15.	Who initiated the first contact bet the mortgage lender/broker you u				Redo/refile paperwork due to processing delays				
	mortgage you took out?	5 cu 101			Delay or postpone closing date				
	_				Have your "Loan Estimate" revised				
	I (or one of my co-signers) did				to reflect changes in your loan terms				
	The mortgage lender/broker did				Check other sources to confirm that				
	We were put in contact by a third par real estate agent or home builder)	rty (such	as a		terms of this mortgage were reasonable				
16.	While you were getting your mort you <u>primarily</u> interact with your r			21.	Did the "Loan Estimate" you receive mortgage lender/broker	ed fro	m your		
	lender/broker?				TT 1 1 1 1 C 1	Yes	No		
	Online (web portal, email)				Have easy to understand information				
	Phone (voice calls, text messages, fa	x)			Contain valuable information				
	Mail				Cause you to take an action, such as seek				
	☐ In person				a change in your loan or closing				
	☐ No primary way								



22.	During the application process were you told about mortgages with any of the following?			26. Overall, how satisfied are you that the mortgage you got was the one with the						
		Yes	No						Not	
	An interest rate that is fixed for the				-		Very	_	_	
	life of the loan				Best terms to fit y		Ш	Ш	Ш	
	An interest rate that could change over the life of the loan				Lowest interest ra you could qualit	fy				
	A term of less than 30 years				Lowest closing co	osts				
	A higher interest rate in return for lower closing costs			27.	Overall, how sa	ou wit	h the			
	A lower interest rate in return for paying higher closing costs (discount points)						Very	Somewhat	Not At All	
	Interest-only monthly payments				Mortgage lender/b	broker	_	_	_	
	An escrow account for taxes and/or	_			you used		Ц			
	homeowner insurance	Ш	Ш		Application proce			Ш	Ш	
	A prepayment penalty (fee if the mortgage is paid off early)				Documentation prequired for the	loan				
	Reduced documentation or "easy"	_	_		Property appraisa					
	approval				Loan closing proc				Ш	
	An FHA, VA, USDA or Rural Housing loan				Information in mo	ments				
					Timeliness of mor					
23.	. In selecting your settlement/closing agent did		d you		disclosure docum	ments	片		H	
	use someone	Yes			Settlement agent		ш	Ш	Ш	
	C-14-1/1-11414	No			_	_				
	Selected/recommended by the mortgage lender/broker, or real estate agent	28. Did you take a course about home-buying or talk to a professional housing counselor?								
	You used previously				nselor?					
	Found shopping around	H	Н		- □ Yes					
	Ft 8				\square No \rightarrow Skip to					
	☐ Did not have a settlement/closing agent				***					
				29.	Was your home	e-buying cour	rse or	counselin	ıg	
24. Do you have title insurance on this mortgage?							Ye	s No		
_	Yes No Don't know Skip to 26 Which one best describes how you picked the				In person, one-on-					
					In person, in a gro	oup				
					Over the phone					
					Online					
1					Required					
23.	title insurance?									
	Reissued previous title insurance				30. How many hours was your home-buying course or counseling?					
Used title insurance recommended by mortgage lender/broker or settlement agent					Less than 3 hours					
					$\overline{}$ 3 – 6 hours					
☐ Shopped around										
					☐ More than 12	hours				
			21 Overall how helpful was your home huving							
				31.	31. Overall, how helpful was your home-buying course or counseling?					
					☐ Very	☐ Somewhat		Not at all		



32.	Which <u>one</u> of these reasons best describes this most recent mortgage?			this	36. ↑	6. How important were the following in your decision to refinance, modify or obtain a new mortgage?			
	- □ To buy a property □ To refinance or modify an earlier mortgage □ To add/remove co-signer(s)/co- □ To finance a construction loan □ To take out a new loan on a mortgage-free property □ Some other purpose (specify)	owner(s	Skip to 36-			Change to a fixed-rate loan Get a lower interest rate Remove private mortgage insurance Get a lower monthly payment Consolidate or pay down other debt Repay the loan more quickly Take out cash			
1	D:1 1 4 6 H : 1 6		٠,		37.	7. Approximately how much was owed, in total, on			
33.	Did you do the following before made an offer on this house of			ou		the old mortgage(s) and loan(s) you refinanced?			
		Before Offer	_	Did Not Do		\$.00			
	Contacted a lender to explore mortgage options					☐ Zero (the property was mortgage-free)			
	Got a pre-approval or pre- qualification from a lender				38.	3. Did you use the money you got from this new mortgage for any of the following?			
	Decided on the type of loan Made a decision on which lender to use			П		College expenses Yes No			
	Submitted an official loan application					Auto or other major purchase Buy out co-signer(s)/co-owner(s) Pay off other bills or debts			
34.	4. Did you use any of the following sources of funds to buy this property?					Home repairs or new construction Savings Closing costs of new mortgage Business or investment			
	Proceeds from the sale of another p	roperty	Used	Used		Other (specify)			
	Savings, retirement account, inheritance, or other assets Assistance or loan from a nonprofit or government agency								
						Did not get money from refinancing			
	A second lien, home equity loan, or equity line of credit (HELOC) Gift or loan from family or friend	home				This Mortgage			
35.	Seller contribution What percent of the purchase price was the down payment to buy this property (including			ne ding	3 9.	7. When you took out this most recent mortgage or refinance, what was the dollar amount you borrowed? \$.00 \text{Don't know}			
	money from a prior home sale	e, giits,	etc.)?			.00 Donation			
% Don't know						What is the monthly payment, including the amount paid to escrow for taxes and insurance?			
	5kip to 57					\$00			
						. What is the interest rate on this mortgage?			
						%			

29332

	decided on the interest rate of y Paid higher closing costs to get lo Paid lower closing costs with a hi Got a balance between closing co Does this mortgage have	our r wer in gher i	nortga iterest r nterest i	nge? rate rate		 Were the loan costs you paid similar to what you had expected to pay based on the Loan Estimate or Closing Disclosures you received? Yes No After closing on this mortgage, how much cash reserves in checking, savings, and other similar assets did you have remaining? Less than one month's mortgage payment 					
	A prepayment penalty (fee if the mortgage is paid off early) An escrow account for taxes and/or homeowner insurance An adjustable rate (one that can change over the life of the loan) A balloon payment Interest-only payments Private mortgage insurance Lender-required flood insurance				49.	1-2 months' worth of mortgage payments 3-6 months' worth of mortgage payments 7 months' worth or more of mortgage payments 49. Did you seek input about your closing docu from any of the following people? Yes					
44.	At any time after you made your final loan pplication did any of the following change? Higher Same L fonthly payment		e?		Personal attorney Title insurance agent Trusted friend or relative who is not a co-signer on the mortgage Housing counselor						
	Interest rate Other fees Amount of money needed to close loan				50.	Other (specify) Did you face any of the following at		□ □			
45.	The "Closing Disclosure" state at closing shows the loan closing closing costs separately. What we closing costs you paid on this lo	g cost were t	s and	other		closing? Loan documents not ready at closing Closing did not occur as originally scheduled Three-day rule required re-disclosure	Yes	No			
46.	\$00 E					Mortgage terms different at closing than expected, e.g. interest rate, monthly payment					
	other costs) for this loan paid? You have a co-signer with a check or wire transfer		No	Don't Know		More cash needed at closing than expected, e.g. escrow, unexpected fees					
						Less cash needed at closing than expected Asked to sign blank documents at closing					
	Added to the mortgage amount By mortgage lender/broker					Asked to sign pre-dated or post-dated documents at closing					
	By seller/builder Other (specify)					Felt rushed at closing or not given time to read documents					
	☐ Loan had no closing costs				51.	Is there any <u>additional problem</u> you while getting this mortgage that you us about?	encour 'd like	ntered to tell			

29332

53.	. At the same time you took out this mortgage, did you also take out another loan on the property you financed with this mortgage (a second lien, home equity loan, or a home equity line of credit (HELOC))? — Yes — No → Skip to 54 . What was the amount of this loan? \$00 — Don't know . How well could you explain to someone the				5	58. Which one of the following best describes this property? Single-family detached house Mobile home or manufactured home Townhouse, row house, or villa 2-unit, 3-unit, or 4-unit dwelling Apartment (or condo/co-op) in apartment building Unit in a partly commercial structure Other (specify) 59. Does this mortgage cover more than one unit?			
		Vous	Somewhat	Not					
	Process of taking out a mortgage	Very	Somewnat	At All	6	♥ 60. About how much do you think this property is			
	Difference between a fixed- and an adjustable-rate mortgage					worth in terms of what you could sell it for now?			
	Difference between a prime and subprime loan					\$00			
	Difference between a mortgage's interest rate and its APR				6	61. Do you rent out all or any portion of this property?			
	Amortization of a loan Consequences of not making			ш		Yes			
	required mortgage payments Difference between lender's and					□ No → Skip to 63			
	owner's title insurance				6	62. How much rent do you receive annually?			
	Relationship between discount points and interest rate Reason payments into an escrow					\$00 per year			
	account can change				6	63. Besides you, the mortgage co-signers, and			
	This Mortgaged	Pro	perty		renters, does anyone else help pay the expenses for this property?				
55.	When did you first become the property?	he ow	ner of th	is		☐ Yes ☐ No			
	/				6	64. Which <u>one</u> of the following best describes how you use this property?			
	month year					Primary residence (where you			
56.	56. What was the purchase price of this property, or if you built it, how much did the construction and land cost?				spend the majority of your time) It will be my primary residence soon Seasonal or second home Home for other relatives Rental or investment property				
	\$00					Other (specify)			
57.	Which <u>one</u> of the following b you acquired this property?	est de	scribes l	iow	6	65. If primary residence, when did you move into			
	Purchased an existing home					this property?			
	Purchased a newly-built home	from a	builder						
	Had or purchased land and bui					/			
	Received as a gift or inheritance					month year			
	Other (specify)								



66.	Which one of the following best describe	s your	Your Household					
	willingness or ability to move from your primary residence?	71. What is your current marital status?						
	* ·		─ Married					
	Willing and able to move		Separated					
	Willing but unable to move		☐ Never married					
	Unwilling to move		Divorced					
	Unsure/Don't know at this time		Widowed					
67.	In the last couple years, how have the fol	llowing	72. Do you have a partner w	ho shares	the			
	changed in the neighborhood where this		decision-making and res					
	property is located?	running your household but is not your						
	Significant Little/No Increase Change	Significant Decrease	legal spouse?					
	Number of homes for sale		☐ Yes ☐ No					
	Number of vacant homes		\downarrow					
	Number of homes for rent		Please answer the following que		you and			
	Number of foreclosures or	_	your spouse or partner, if applic	able.				
	short sales Number of homes impacted		73. Age at last birthday:					
	by natural disasters		,	Zou	Spouse/ Partner			
	House prices			ou	1 at thei			
	Overall desirability of	_	_	years	years			
	living there		74. Sex:					
			7 i. Sex.		Spouse/			
68.	What do you think will happen to the pri	ices of		ou .	Partner			
	homes in this neighborhood over the nex		Male					
	couple of years?		Female					
	☐ Increase a lot		75. Highest level of education ac	hieved.				
	☐ Increase a little		73. Highest level of education as	iiic v cu.	Spouse/			
	Remain about the same		,	/ou	Partner			
	Decrease a little		Some schooling					
	Decrease a lot		High school graduate					
			Technical school					
69.	In the next couple of years, how do you e	expect	Some college					
	the overall desirability of living in this		College graduate					
	neighborhood to change?		Postgraduate studies	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$			
	☐ Become more desirable		C	_				
	☐ Stay about the same		76. Hispanic or Latino:					
	☐ Become less desirable			<i>T</i> .	Spouse/			
			Yes	Zou	Partner			
70.	How likely is it that in the next couple of	years	No	$\overline{}$				
	you will				_			
	V 6	Not	77. Race: Mark <u>all</u> that apply.		Spouse/			
	Sell this property Somewh	at At All		You	Partner			
	Move but keep this property		White					
	Refinance the mortgage on		Black or African American					
	this property		American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e 🗍				
	Pay off this mortgage and own the property mortgage-free		Asian					
	and proporty mortgago-nee		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander					



78.	78. Current work status: Mark <u>all</u> that apply. Spouse/			84. Approximately how much is your total annual household income from all sources (wages,						
		You	Partner		salaries, tips, interest, child support,					
	Self-employed full time				income, retirement, social security, an					
	Self-employed part time				Less than \$35,000					
	Employed full time				\$35,000 to \$49,999					
	Employed part time				\$50,000 to \$74,999					
	Retired	П	П		\$75,000 to \$99,999					
	Unemployed, temporarily laid-off		_		\$100,000 to \$174,999					
	or on leave				\$175,000 or more					
	Not working for pay (student, homemaker, disabled)			85.	How does this total annual househo					
		TI C	•		compare to what it is in a "normal"	year?	•			
79.	Ever served on active duty in th		rmed		Higher than normal					
	Forces, Reserves or National Gu	iaru:	6		Normal					
		You	Spouse/ Partner		Lower than normal					
	Never served in the military			0.0	B ((1 11 111)					
	Only on active duty for training in the Reserves or National Guard			86.	Does your total annual household in include any of the following sources					
	Now on active duty				W/	Yes	No			
	On active duty in the past, but	П	П		Wages or salary Business or self-employment					
	not now	Ш	Ш		Interest or dividends		H			
00					Alimony or child support	П	H			
80.	80. Besides you (and your spouse/partner) who else lives in your household? <i>Mark all that apply.</i>				Social Security, pension or other	Ш				
		_	оріу.		retirement benefits					
	Children/grandchildren under age									
	☐ Children/grandchildren age 18 – 22 ☐ Children/grandchildren age 23 or older ☐ Parents of you or your spouse or partner			87. Does anyone in your household have any of the following?						
	Other relatives like siblings or cou					Yes	No			
	Non-relative	151115			401(k), 403(b), IRA, or pension plan					
	Tron relative				Stocks, bonds, or mutual funds (not in					
	☐ No one else				retirement accounts or pension plans)					
					Certificates of deposit					
81.	Do you speak a language other thome?	han En	glish at		Investment real estate	Ш				
_	-□ Yes			88.	Which one of the following stateme					
	No → Skip to 84				describes the amount of financial ri		are			
					willing to take when you save or ma	ike				
82.	Was it important to get your mo	rtgage			investments?					
	documents in this language?				Take substantial financial risks expecting to ea					
	☐ Yes ☐ No				substantial returns Take above-average financial risks experiments of the substantial returns	pecting	to			
83.	83. Did you get mortgage documents in this language?			Take average financial risks expecting to earn average returns						
	Yes No				☐ Not willing to take any financial risks					
					•					



89.	Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?		92.	In the last couple yea changed for you (and						
		Agree D	isagree			Significant	Little/No	Sign	ificant	
	Owning a home is a good financial investment				Household income	Increase	Change	Dec	crease	
	Most mortgage lenders generally treat borrowers well				Housing expenses Non-housing expenses					
	Most mortgage lenders would offer me roughly the same rates and fees			93. In the next couple of years, how do you expect the following to change for you (and your						
	Late payments will lower my credit rating				spouse/partner)?					
	Lenders shouldn't care about any late payments, only whether loans are fully repaid				Household income Housing expenses	Significant Increase	Change		ificant crease	
	It is okay to default or stop making mortgage payments if it is in the				Non-housing expenses					
	borrower's financial interest I would consider counseling or taking a course about managing my finances if			94.	94. How likely is it that in the next couple of years you (or your spouse/partner) will face					
	I faced financial difficulties				n.:	,	Very Som	_	Not At All	
90.	In the last couple of years, have any	of the			Retirement Difficulties making your					
	following happened to you?	Yes	No		mortgage payments A layoff, unemployment,	or				
	Separated, divorced or partner left				forced reduction in hou	irs				
	Married, remarried or new partner				Some other personal fina crisis	ncial	П			
	Death of a household member				C11515	_				
	Addition to your household (not spouse/partner)			95. If your household faced an unexpected personal financial crisis in the next couple of						
	Person leaving your household (not spouse/partner)				years, how likely is it	you could	l		Not	
	Disability or serious illness of household member				Pay your bills for the nex	at 3	Very Som		At All	
	Disaster affecting a property you own				months without borrow Get significant financial l				ш	
	Disaster affecting your (or your spouse/partner's) work				from family or friends	пстр				
	Moved within the area (less than 50 miles)				Borrow a significant amo		П	П	П	
	Moved to a new area (50 miles or more)				Significantly increase you income	ur	П		П	
91.	. In the last couple of years, have any of the following happened to you (or your spouse/partner)?			96.	In the next ten years, decrease the value of				ıld	
	Y 66 1 1 1	Yes	No							
	Layoff, unemployment, or reduced hours of work									
	Retirement									
	Promotion Starting a pay job									
	Starting a new job									
	Starting a second job Business failure									
	A personal financial crisis									
	11 personal imanetal crisis									



Thank you for completing this survey and sharing your experiences to help improve the processes of getting a mortgage.

We have provided space below for any additional comments.

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your experience getting a mortgage to purchase or refinance your property?

Please do not put your name or address on the questionnaire.



For any questions about the survey or online access you can call toll free 1-855-531-0724.



Appendix B. Retired NSMO Questions

This appendix lists the NSMO questions that were retired after Waves 6, 10, 14, 18, 24, and 34.

Questions Retired After Wave 6

13. How important were each of the following in choosing the lender/broker you used for the mortgage you took out?

Lender is a community bank or credit union

Recommendation from a lending website

- Very
- Somewhat
- Not At All
- 19. In the process of getting your mortgage from your lender/broker, did you...

Add a co-signer

- Yes
- No
- 36. Many mortgages have discount points paid to the lender to get a lower interest rate. Did you pay any discount points?
 - Yes
 - No
- 37. (If Yes in Q36) How many discount points did you pay?
- 38. Some lenders charge origination points to cover the cost of preparing a mortgage for closing. These are often expressed as a percentage of the loan amount. Did you pay any origination points?
 - Yes
 - No
- 39. (If Yes in Q38) How many origination points did you pay?
- 40. Does your mortgage have any of the following features?

Owner's title insurance

Credit life/debt suspension/debt cancellation insurance

- Yes
- No
- Don't Know
- 51. Which statement best describes the approach you took to purchase your house or property?
 - Had a pre-approval or pre-qualification from a lender before I made the purchase
 - Shopped for a mortgage after making the purchase offer
 - Shopped for house and mortgage at the same time

- 53. (If Yes to Q52) How much money from the sale of this other property was used to purchase your property?
- 64. (If multiple units in Q63) How many separate living units does your mortgage cover?
- 70. Is anyone who currently lives in the property a co-signer on your mortgage?
 - Yes
 - No
- 75. Ever owned other residential properties besides this one:

You

Spouse/Partner

- Yes
- No
- 81. Besides you (and your spouse/partner), does anyone else live in your household or use your house as a permanent address?
 - Yes
 - No
- 82. (If Yes to Q81) How many of the other household members are 18 years of age or younger?
- 83. (If Yes to Q81) How many of the other household members are older than 18?
- 84. (If Yes to Q81) Do any of the other household members help pay for household expenses?
 - Yes
 - No

Questions Retired After Wave 10

- 3. Including you, how many people signed or cosigned for this mortgage?
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4 or more
- 19. In the process of getting this mortgage from your lender/broker, did you...

End up with better mortgage terms than you were originally offered

- Yes
- No
- 49. Did you compare the final loan costs to the final Good Faith Estimate you received from your lender?
 - Yes
 - No

- 50. Did you find any significant differences between the two?
 - Yes
 - No.

Questions Retired After Wave 14

- 45. When was the interest rate set/locked on this loan?
 - At application
 - Between application and closing
 - Around closing

Questions Retired After Wave 18

14. How important were each of the following in choosing the lender/mortgage broker you used for the mortgage you took out?

Lender/mortgage broker operates online

- Important
- Not Important
- 45. At the time of application, did the lender give you the option to set/lock the interest rate so that it would not change before closing?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Don't Know
- 52. Did you face any unpleasant "surprises" at your loan closing?
 - Yes
 - No

Questions Retired After Wave 24

14. How important were each of the following in choosing the mortgage lender/broker you used for the mortgage you took out?

Could provide documents in my primary language, which is not English

- Important
- Not Important
- 20. In the process of getting this mortgage from your mortgage lender/broker, did you...

Get documents in your primary language, which is not English

- Yes
- No
- 20. In the process of getting this mortgage from your mortgage lender/broker, did you...

Have the lender/broker translate in your primary language, which is not English

- Yes
- No

- 39. How did the total amount of your new mortgage(s) compare to the total of the old mortgage(s) and loan(s) you paid off?
 - New amount is lower
 - New amount is about the same
 - New amount is higher
 - Property was mortgage-free

Questions Retired After Wave 34

- 19. Did the "Your home loan toolkit" booklet lead you to ask additional questions about your mortgage terms?
 - Yes
 - No
- 22. Did the "Loan Estimate" lead you to...

Ask questions of your mortgage lender/broker

Seek a change in your loan or closing

Apply to a different mortgage lender/broker

- Yes
- No
- 35. What percent of the purchase price was the down payment to buy this property (including money from a prior home sale, gifts, etc.)?
 - 0%
 - Less than 3%
 - 3% to less than 5%
 - 5% to less than 10%
 - 10% to less than 20%
 - 20% to less than 30%
 - 30% or more

Appendix C. NSMO Public Use File Codebook and Tabulations

Because the codebook and tabulations contain a large number of pages, a separate document is available on www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata.

Appendix D. Select Weighted Tabulations, 2013 – 2020

Because the weighted tabulations contain a large number of pages, a separate document is available on www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata.



